Agency	Cardinal Innovations				Project	PSH Concern of Durham Renewal 2017			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	68%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	Agency Capacity	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency is free of HUD monitoring findings	Agency certification; Staff interview with HUD Field Office	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
2	Agency Capacity	PRC	ALL	ALL	Application is complete, accurate & error-free	Project application	0-15 points based on reviewer judgment	15	12	11	14	14	12	12	12.5
5	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency agrees to participate fully in coordinated entry	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
6	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH 100,000 Homes Taskforce	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10						58%	5
7	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH Data & Grants workgroup	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10						78%	10
8	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	All agency beds entered in HMIS	Renewal: NC HMIS report, HIC New: Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
9	Project Design	Staff	ALL	PSH	Agency agrees to use the HUD notice CPD-16-11 for prioritization of program entrants	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
10	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency submitted documentation of sufficient match	Proj. App 7, match documentation	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
11	Project Design	PRC	ALL	PSH	Project employs Permanent Supportive Housing Key Elements	Proj. App., Agency policies, procedures and eligibility policies, sample lease	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
13	Project Design	PRC	ALL	ALL	Project employs Housing First	Project App 3B, Q3d	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
14	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Housing to Services funding request ratio	Proj. App 7	0-35% = 0 pts 36-90% = 5 pts 91-100% = 10 pts	10						100%	10
20	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Program participants with more than one disability type	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	74% or less = 0 pts 75+% = 10 pts	10						14%	0

Agency	Cardinal Innovations				Project	PSH Concern of Durham Renewal 2017			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	68%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
21	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	# households with zero income at entry	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	79% or less = 0 pts 80+% = 10 pts	10						45%	0
22	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	74% or less = 0 pts 75+% = 10 pts	10						13%	0
23	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Project is spending funds awarded	Most recent APR submitted to HUD	Spending 0-89% of awarded funds = 0 pts 90+% funds spent = 20 pts	20						100%	20
25	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	PSH	Exits to/retention of permanent housing	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	89% or less = 0 pts 90+% move to or retain permanent housing = 25 pts	25						98%	25
26	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Cost per permanent housing exit	CoC project funding + match / (exits to permanent housing + rentention of permanent housing)	Above median for Orange Co. = 0 pts At or below median for Orange Co. = 20 pts	20			$5,432; OC median = $5,432				20
27	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	HMIS data quality	HUD DQ Framework Report 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	Null values 10% or less = 10 pts Null values 11+% = 0 pts Data errors 10% or less = 10 pts Data errors 11+% = 0 pts	20			0 pts for null, 0 pts for data errors				0
28	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increased income for project stayers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	8+% = 2.5 pts 7% or less = 0 pts	2.5						2%	0
29	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increase non-employment income for project stayers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	10+% = 2.5 pts 9% or less = 0 pts	2.5						15%	2.5
30	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increased income for project leavers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	8+% = 2.5 pts 7% or less = 0 pts	2.5						17%	2.5
31	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increase non-employment income for project leavers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	10+% = 2.5 pts 9% or less = 0 pts	2.5						17%	2.5
33	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Participant return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	System Performance Measures	15% or less = 15 points 16+% = 0 pts	15						0%	15

Agency	Cardinal Innovations				Project	PSH Concern of Durham Renewal 2017			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	68%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	TOTAL							185							125.0

Agency	Cardinal Innovations				Project	RA/Operating Renewal 2017			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	65%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	Agency Capacity	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency is free of HUD monitoring findings	Agency certification; Staff interview with HUD Field Office	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
2	Agency Capacity	PRC	ALL	ALL	Application is complete, accurate & error-free	Project application	0-15 points based on reviewer judgment	15	12	12	14	14	12	13	12.8
5	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency agrees to participate fully in coordinated entry	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
6	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH 100,000 Homes Taskforce	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10						58%	5
7	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH Data & Grants workgroup	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10						78%	10
8	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	All agency beds entered in HMIS	Renewal: NC HMIS report, HIC New: Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
9	Project Design	Staff	ALL	PSH	Agency agrees to use the HUD notice CPD-16-11 for prioritization of program entrants	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
10	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency submitted documentation of sufficient match	Proj. App 7, match documentation	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
11	Project Design	PRC	ALL	PSH	Project employs Permanent Supportive Housing Key Elements	Proj. App., Agency policies, procedures and eligibility policies, sample lease	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard	Met	Met	Met	Met	Unmet	Met	Unmet
13	Project Design	PRC	ALL	ALL	Project employs Housing First	Project App 3B, Q3d	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
14	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Housing to Services funding request ratio	Proj. App 7	0-35% = 0 pts 36-90% = 5 pts 91-100% = 10 pts	10						100%	10
20	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Program participants with more than one disability type	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	74% or less = 0 pts 75+% = 10 pts	10						22%	0
21	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	# households with zero income at entry	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	79% or less = 0 pts 80+% = 10 pts	10						88%	10

Agency	Cardinal Innovations				Project	RA/Operating Renewal 2017			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	65%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
22	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	74% or less = 0 pts 75+% = 10 pts	10						0%	0
23	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Project is spending funds awarded	Most recent APR submitted to HUD	Spending 0-89% of awarded funds = 0 pts 90+% funds spent = 20 pts	20	Submitted APR not included with app materials						0
25	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	PSH	Exits to/retention of permanent housing	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	89% or less = 0 pts 90+% move to or retain permanent housing = 25 pts	25						100%	25
26	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Cost per permanent housing exit	CoC project funding + match / (exits to permanent housing + rentention of permanent housing)	Above median for Orange Co. = 0 pts At or below median for Orange Co. = 20 pts	20	$3,950; OC median = $5,432						20
27	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	HMIS data quality	HUD DQ Framework Report 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	Null values 10% or less = 10 pts Null values 11+% = 0 pts Data errors 10% or less = 10 pts Data errors 11+% = 0 pts	20	10 pts for null, 0 pts for data errors						10
28	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increased income for project stayers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	8+% = 2.5 pts 7% or less = 0 pts	2.5						0%	0
29	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increase non-employment income for project stayers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	10+% = 2.5 pts 9% or less = 0 pts	2.5						0%	0
30	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increased income for project leavers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	8+% = 2.5 pts 7% or less = 0 pts						No leavers		NA
31	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increase non-employment income for project leavers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	10+% = 2.5 pts 9% or less = 0 pts						No leavers		NA
33	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Participant return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	System Performance Measures	15% or less = 15 points 16+% = 0 pts	15						0%	15
	TOTAL							**180**							**117.8**

Agency	Housing for New Hope				Project	Orange Co Housing Support Program			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	76%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	Agency Capacity	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency is free of HUD monitoring findings	Agency certification; Staff interview with HUD Field Office	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
2	Agency Capacity	PRC	ALL	ALL	Application is complete, accurate & error-free	Project application	0-15 points based on reviewer judgment	15	13	15	15	13	13	15	14.0
5	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency agrees to participate fully in coordinated entry	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
6	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH 100,000 Homes Taskforce	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10	100% *IFC staff participation scored						10
7	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH Data & Grants workgroup	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10	100% *IFC staff participation scored						10
8	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	All agency beds entered in HMIS	Renewal: NC HMIS report, HIC New: Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
9	Project Design	Staff	ALL	PSH	Agency agrees to use the HUD notice CPD-16-11 for prioritization of program entrants	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
10	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency submitted documentation of sufficient match	Proj. App 7, match documentation	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
11	Project Design	PRC	ALL	PSH	Project employs Permanent Supportive Housing Key Elements	Proj. App., Agency policies, procedures and eligibility policies, sample lease	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard							
13	Project Design	PRC	ALL	ALL	Project employs Housing First	Project App 3B, Q3d	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard							
14	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Housing to Services funding request ratio	Proj. App 7	0-35% = 0 pts 36-90% = 5 pts 91-100% = 10 pts	10						35%	0
20	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Program participants with more than one disability type	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	74% or less = 0 pts 75+% = 10 pts								NA

Agency	Housing for New Hope				Project	Orange Co Housing Support Program			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	76%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
21	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	# households with zero income at entry	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	79% or less = 0 pts 80+% = 10 pts								NA
22	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	74% or less = 0 pts 75+% = 10 pts								NA
23	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Project is spending funds awarded	Most recent APR submitted to HUD	Spending 0-89% of awarded funds = 0 pts 90+% funds spent = 20 pts								NA
25	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	PSH	Exits to/retention of permanent housing	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	89% or less = 0 pts 90+% move to or retain permanent housing = 25 pts								NA
26	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Cost per permanent housing exit	CoC project funding + match / (exits to permanent housing + rentention of permanent housing)	Above median for Orange Co. = 0 pts At or below median for Orange Co. = 20 pts		NA; OC median = $5,432						NA
27	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	HMIS data quality	HUD DQ Framework Report 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	Null values 10% or less = 10 pts Null values 11+% = 0 pts Data errors 10% or less = 10 pts Data errors 11+% = 0 pts								NA
28	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increased income for project stayers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	8+% = 2.5 pts 7% or less = 0 pts								NA
29	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increase non-employment income for project stayers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	10+% = 2.5 pts 9% or less = 0 pts								NA
30	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increased income for project leavers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	8+% = 2.5 pts 7% or less = 0 pts								NA
31	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increase non-employment income for project leavers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	10+% = 2.5 pts 9% or less = 0 pts								NA
33	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Participant return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	System Performance Measures	15% or less = 15 points 16+% = 0 pts								NA

Agency	Housing for New Hope				Project	Orange Co Housing Support Program			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	76%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	TOTAL							45							34.0

Agency	Housing for New Hope				Project	UNC XDS Leasing Project 1			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	59%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	Agency Capacity	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency is free of HUD monitoring findings	Agency certification; Staff interview with HUD Field Office	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
2	Agency Capacity	PRC	ALL	ALL	Application is complete, accurate & error-free	Project application	0-15 points based on reviewer judgment	15	13	14	14	13	13	13	13.3
5	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency agrees to participate fully in coordinated entry	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
6	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH 100,000 Homes Taskforce	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10	100% *IFC staff participation scored						10
7	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH Data & Grants workgroup	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10	100% *IFC staff participation scored						10
8	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	All agency beds entered in HMIS	Renewal: NC HMIS report, HIC New: Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
9	Project Design	Staff	ALL	PSH	Agency agrees to use the HUD notice CPD-16-11 for prioritization of program entrants	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
10	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency submitted documentation of sufficient match	Proj. App 7, match documentation	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
11	Project Design	PRC	ALL	PSH	Project employs Permanent Supportive Housing Key Elements	Proj. App., Agency policies, procedures and eligibility policies, sample lease	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
13	Project Design	PRC	ALL	ALL	Project employs Housing First	Project App 3B, Q3d	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
14	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Housing to Services funding request ratio	Proj. App 7	0-35% = 0 pts 36-90% = 5 pts 91-100% = 10 pts	10						100%	10
20	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Program participants with more than one disability type	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	74% or less = 0 pts 75+% = 10 pts	10						43%	0

Agency	Housing for New Hope				Project	UNC XDS Leasing Project 1			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	59%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
21	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	# households with zero income at entry	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	79% or less = 0 pts 80+% = 10 pts	10						43%	0
22	Project Design	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	74% or less = 0 pts 75+% = 10 pts	10						100%	10
23	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Project is spending funds awarded	Most recent APR submitted to HUD	Spending 0-89% of awarded funds = 0 pts 90+% funds spent = 20 pts	20						54%	0
25	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	PSH	Exits to/retention of permanent housing	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	89% or less = 0 pts 90+% move to or retain permanent housing = 25 pts	25						100%	25
26	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Cost per permanent housing exit	CoC project funding + match / (exits to permanent housing + rentention of permanent housing)	Above median for Orange Co. = 0 pts At or below median for Orange Co. = 20 pts	20	$14,443; OC median = $5,432						0
27	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	HMIS data quality	HUD DQ Framework Report 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	Null values 10% or less = 10 pts Null values 11+% = 0 pts Data errors 10% or less = 10 pts Data errors 11+% = 0 pts	20	0 pts for null, 10 pts for data errors						10
28	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increased income for project stayers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	8+% = 2.5 pts 7% or less = 0 pts	2.5						67%	2.5
29	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increase non-employment income for project stayers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	10+% = 2.5 pts 9% or less = 0 pts	2.5						67%	2.5
30	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increased income for project leavers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	8+% = 2.5 pts 7% or less = 0 pts	2.5						0%	0.0
31	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	New or increase non-employment income for project leavers	APR 1/1/16 - 12/31/16	10+% = 2.5 pts 9% or less = 0 pts	2.5						0%	0.0
33	Project Performance	Staff	RENEWAL	ALL	Participant return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	System Performance Measures	15% or less = 15 points 16+% = 0 pts	15						0%	15

Agency	Housing for New Hope				Project	UNC XDS Leasing Project 1			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	59%									
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
	TOTAL							185							108.3

Agency	Inter-Faith Council for Social Service				Project	IFC PSH 2017									
New/Exp Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	88%			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
Q#	Category	Scored by	New/ Renewal	Project Type	Question	Materials used to score	Scoring Rubric	Possible Points	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1	Agency Capacity	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency is free of HUD monitoring findings	Agency certification; Staff interview with HUD Field Office	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
2	Agency Capacity	PRC	ALL	ALL	Application is complete, accurate & error-free	Project application	0-15 points based on reviewer judgment	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15.0
3	Agency Capacity	PRC	NEW/EXP.	ALL	Project fits within agency mission	Agency certification; Supplemental docs; Proj. App	0-10 points based on reviewer judgement	10		10	10	10	10	10	10.0
4	Agency Capacity	PRC	NEW/EXP.	ALL	Agency has capacity to operate project on reimbursement basis	Current FY budget, Budget & audit letter, Org chart	0-10 points based on reviewer judgement	10		10	10	10	10	10	10.0
5	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency agrees to participate fully in coordinated entry	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
6	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH 100,000 Homes Taskforce	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10						100%	10
7	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency participation in OCPEH Data & Grants workgroup	Meeting notes, July 2016 - June 2017	Attended 0-30%=0 pts Attended 31-75% = 5 pts Attended 76-100%=10 pts	10						100%	10
8	Community Partnerships	Staff	ALL	ALL	All agency beds entered in HMIS	Renewal: NC HMIS report, HIC New: Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
9	Project Design	Staff	ALL	PSH	Agency agrees to use the HUD notice CPD-16-11 for prioritization of program entrants	Agency certification	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
10	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Agency submitted documentation of sufficient match	Proj. App 7, match documentation	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard						Y	Met
11	Project Design	PRC	ALL	PSH	Project employs Permanent Supportive Housing Key Elements	Proj. App., Agency policies, procedures and eligibility policies, sample lease	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met

Agency	Inter-Faith Council for Social Service				Project	IFC PSH 2017			PRC Scoring - Individual reviewer scores, 1-6						Average/ Staff Score
New/Exp Permanent Supportive Housing project					% of possible pts earned	88%									
Q#	**Category**	**Scored by**	**New/ Renewal**	**Project Type**	**Question**	**Materials used to score**	**Scoring Rubric**	**Possible Points**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	
13	Project Design	PRC	ALL	ALL	Project employs Housing First	Project App 3B, Q3d	N = Unmet Y = Met	Standard	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
14	Project Design	Staff	ALL	ALL	Housing to Services funding request ratio	Proj. App 7	0-35% = 0 pts 36-90% = 5 pts 91-100% = 10 pts	10						63%	5
15	Project Design	PRC	NEW/EXP.	ALL	To what extent project addresses a need in Orange County	Entire Proj. App	0-15 points based on reviewer judgment	15		15	15	15	15	15	15
16	Project Design	PRC	NEW/EXP.	ALL	Project has a feasible plan for achieving its goals (adequate provisions for staff, services, etc.)	Project App 3B and 4A; Org chart, Current FY budget, Budget & audit letter	0-15 points based on reviewer judgment	15		15	15	15	15	15	15
17	Project Design	Staff	NEW/EXP.	ALL	% program participants with a disability	Proj. App	0-35% = 0 pts 36-90% = 5 pts 91-100% = 10 pts	10						100%	10
18	Project Design	Staff	NEW/EXP.	ALL	% of adults projected to serve will be veterans	Proj. App	0-35% = 0 pts 36-90% = 5 pts 91-100% = 10 pts	10						9%	0
19	Project Design	Staff	NEW/EXP.	ALL	% of adults projected to serve will be chronically homeless	Proj. App	0-35% = 0 pts 36-90% = 5 pts 91-100% = 10 pts	10						100%	10
	TOTAL							**125**							**110.0**